Section 106 Training

Preserving Historic Places Conference

September 29, 2026

DNR Indiana Department of Natural Resources | *Historic Preservation & Archaeology*

Caitlin Lehman, Team Leader for Historic Structures
Holly Tate, Architectural Historian
Beth McCord, Director and Archaeologist

Who We Are

State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)

- In response to the passage of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, Indiana established the SHPO within the Department of Natural Resources

Indiana Department of Natural Resources Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology (DHPA)

- Staff of 18 historians and archaeologists
- Organized as 4 sections



Photo: DHPA Staff & Interns at Crown Hill Cemetery, May 2025

What We Do

Environmental Reviews

- ~2,000 Federal Reviews/yr (Section 106 review under 36 CFR Part 800)
- 350+ State Reviews

Types of Projects Reviewed:

- Bank Stabilization
- Cell Tower Construction & Collocation
- Building and Bridge Construction / Rehabilitation / Replacement
- Highway and Road Projects, Roundabouts
- Pipeline
- Sewer & Water

National Register of Historic Places & Survey and Data Management

- 2000+ National Register listings in Indiana
- 450+ Historic Districts
- County Survey
- Indiana State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database (SHAARD)
- Cemetery and Burial Ground Registry

Grants and Tax Credits

- Historic Preservation Fund Grants
- Federal Rehabilitation Investment Tax Credits
- State Residential Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credits

Attendee Introductions

- Name
- Organization (if applicable)
- Prior experience with Section 106?




Agenda

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

36 CFR 800 (ACHP Proposed Changes to Modernize)

- Initiation
- Identification
- Evaluation
- Finding
- Post Inadvertent Discoveries
- Program Alternatives
- Other Statutes
- Documentation Guidance





History of the
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966

Federally-funded public works projects, such as the construction of reservoirs, highways, and urban renewal initiatives were resulting in widespread destruction of historic and cultural properties across the nation.

In response to increasing public concern, the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA) was enacted to establish a means of **identifying, listing,** and **protecting** historic properties.



Photo: Construction of I-65 occurs just south of the Morris-Butler House at 1204 North Park Ave., circa 1970. Photo Courtesy Heritage Photo & Research Services.

1962



Location of Morris-Butler House
1204 North Park Avenue, Indianapolis
Image from MapIndy

1978



Location of Morris-Butler House
1204 North Park Avenue, Indianapolis
Image from MapIndy

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. § 306108)

"The head of any Federal agency having direct or indirect jurisdiction over a proposed Federal or federally assisted undertaking in any State and the head of any Federal department or independent agency having authority to license any undertaking, **prior to the approval of the expenditure of any Federal funds on the undertaking or prior to the issuance of any license, shall take into account the effect of the undertaking on any historic property.** The head of the Federal agency shall afford the **Council** a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to the undertaking"

Implementing Regulations: **36 CFR 800**



1

36 CFR PART 800 – PROTECTION OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES (incorporating amendments effective August 5, 2004)

Subpart A – Purposes and Participants

Sec.
800.1 Purposes.
800.2 Participants in the Section 106 process.

Subpart B – The Section 106 Process

800.3 Initiation of the section 106 process.
800.4 Identification of historic properties.
800.5 Assessment of adverse effects.
800.6 Resolution of adverse effects.
800.7 Failure to resolve adverse effects.
800.8 Coordination with the National Environmental Policy act.
800.9 Council review of Section 106 compliance.
800.10 Special requirements for protecting National Historic Landmarks.
800.11 Documentation standards.
800.12 Emergency situations.
800.13 Post-review discoveries.

Subpart C -- Program Alternatives

800.14 Federal agency program alternatives.
800.15 Tribal, State and Local Program Alternatives. (Reserved)
800.16 Definitions.
Appendix A – Criteria for Council involvement in reviewing individual section 106 cases

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 470s.

Subpart A-Purposes and Participants

§ 800.1 Purposes.

(a) *Purposes of the section 106 process.* Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires Federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and afford the Council a reasonable opportunity to comment on such undertakings. The procedures in this part define how Federal agencies meet these statutory responsibilities. The section 106 process seeks to accommodate historic preservation concerns with the needs of Federal undertakings through consultation among the agency official and other parties with an interest in the effects of the undertaking on historic properties, commencing at the early stages of project planning. The goal of consultation is to identify historic properties potentially affected by the undertaking, assess its effects and seek ways to avoid, minimize or mitigate any adverse effects on historic properties.

(b) *Relation to other provisions of the act.* Section 106 is related to other provisions of the act designed to further the national policy of historic preservation. References to those provisions are included in this part to identify circumstances where they may affect actions taken to meet section 106 requirements. Such provisions may have their own implementing regulations or guidelines and are not intended to be implemented by the procedures in this part except insofar as they relate to the section 106 process. Guidelines, policies and procedures issued by other agencies, including the Secretary, have been cited in this part for ease of access and are not incorporated by reference.

(c) *Timing.* The agency official must complete the section 106 process "prior to the approval of the expenditure of any Federal funds on the undertaking or prior to the issuance of any license." This does not prohibit agency official from conducting or authorizing nondestructive project planning activities before completing compliance with section 106, provided that such actions do not restrict the subsequent consideration of alternatives to avoid, minimize or mitigate the undertaking's adverse effects on historic properties. The agency official shall ensure that the section 106 process is initiated early in the undertaking's planning, so that a broad range of alternatives may be considered during the planning process for the undertaking.

§ 800.2 Participants in the Section 106 process.

(a) *Agency official.* It is the statutory obligation of the Federal agency to fulfill the requirements of section 106 and to ensure that an agency official with jurisdiction over an undertaking takes legal and financial responsibility for section 106 compliance in accordance with subpart B of this part. The agency official has approval authority for the undertaking and can commit the Federal agency to take appropriate action for a specific undertaking as a result of section 106 compliance. For the purposes of subpart C of this part, the agency official has the authority to commit the Federal agency to any obligation it may assume in the implementation of a program alternative. The agency official may be a State, local, or tribal government official who has been delegated legal responsibility for compliance with section 106 in accordance with Federal law.

(1) *Professional standards.* Section 112(a)(1)(A) of the act requires each Federal agency responsible for the protection of historic resources, including archeological resources, to ensure that all actions taken by employees or contractors of the agency shall meet professional standards under regulations developed by the Secretary.

(2) *Lead Federal agency.* If more than one Federal agency is involved in an undertaking, some or all the agencies may designate a lead Federal agency, which shall identify the appropriate official to serve as the agency official who shall act on their behalf, fulfilling their collective responsibilities under section 106. Those Federal agencies that do not designate a lead Federal agency remain individually responsible for their compliance with this part.

(3) *Use of contractors.* Consistent with applicable conflict of interest laws, the agency official may use the services of applicants, consultants, or designees to prepare information, analyses and recommendations under this part. The agency official remains legally responsible for all required findings and determinations. If a document or study is prepared by a non-Federal party, the agency official is responsible for ensuring that its content meets applicable standards and guidelines.

(4) *Consultation.* The agency official shall involve the consulting parties described in paragraph (c) of this section in findings and determinations made during the section 106 process. The agency official should plan consultations appropriate to the scale of the undertaking and the scope of Federal involvement and coordinated with other requirements of other statutes, as applicable, such as the National Environmental Policy Act, the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, the American Indian Religious Freedom Act, the Archeological Resources Protection Act and agency-specific legislation. The Council encourages the agency official to use to the extent possible existing agency procedures and mechanisms to fulfill the consultation requirements of this part.

(b) *Council.* The Council issues regulations to implement section 106,

36 CFR § 800.1

Purposes of Section 106

36 CFR § 800.1: Purposes of Section 106

- Requires Federal agencies to take into account the effects of their **undertakings** on historic properties
- Affords the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation a reasonable opportunity to comment on undertakings
- Seeks to accommodate historic preservation concerns with the needs of Federal undertakings through **consultation**.



Photo: West Lebanon Public Library (Boone County) – Section 106 Review for Rear Building Addition using HUD funds

36 CFR § 800.1: Purposes of Section 106

The Goals of Consultation:

36 CFR Part 800.1(a)

- To **identify** historic properties potentially affected by the project
- To **assess effects** on the identified historic properties
- To seek ways to **avoid, minimize or mitigate** any adverse effects on historic properties.



Photo: Culver Commercial Historic District (Marshall County) – Section 106 review for Streetscape Improvements, using FHWA funds)

36 CFR § 800.1(c): Timing

- Agency must complete the section 106 process "prior to the approval of the expenditure of any Federal funds on the undertaking or prior to the issuance of any license"
- Non-destructive planning may occur
- Agency shall ensure section 106 is initiated early in the undertaking planning so broad range of alternatives may be considered



Photo: Marion County Bridge No. 49-2415F, June 2024 Consulting Party Meeting

36 CFR § 800.2

Participants in the Section 106 Process

36 CFR § 800.2: Participants in the Section 106 process

The Federal Agency

US Army Corps of Engineers ®

U.S.ARMY

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

Federal Transit Administration

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

FEMA

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD 1996

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY · UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NOAA NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES · USA

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

FOREST SERVICE US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

36 CFR § 800.2: Participants in the Section 106 process

The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

36 CFR § 800.2: Participants in the Section 106 process

Consulting Parties

- State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and/or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO)
- Federally recognized Indian tribes
- Representatives of local governments
- Federal agency's applicant for funding or license, if applicable (& consultants)
- Additional consulting parties with a demonstrated interest (e.g., historic property owners, historical societies, neighborhood associations, county historians, Indiana Landmarks, state and national preservation groups)
- General public

DNR Indiana Department of Natural Resources | *Historic Preservation & Archaeology*

MYAAMIA MIAMI TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA

CITY OF FORT WAYNE KE-KI ON-GA INDIANA

INDIANA LANDMARKS

ARCH

36 CFR § 800.3

Initiation of the Section 106 Process

36 CFR § 800.3: Initiate the Section 106 Process

Federal Agency Initiates the Process

1. Is it an undertaking?

A project, activity, or program funded in whole or in part under the direct or indirect jurisdiction of a Federal agency, including:

- those carried out by or on behalf of a Federal agency;
- those carried out with Federal **financial assistance**;
- those requiring a Federal **permit**, **license** or **approval**.

[36 CFR 800.16(y)]

2. Does it have the potential to cause effects on historic properties?

36 CFR § 800.3: Initiate the Section 106 Process

Resources for Identifying Consulting Parties in Indiana

- *Indiana Landmarks* (indianalandmarks.org)
  - In Your Area (Regional Office Staff)
  - Indiana Preservation Directory (Local Preservation Organizations)
- *Indiana Historical Society* (indianahistory.org)
  - Hometown Resources -> Statewide Organizations
  - Find Who You Need by County (County Historians, History Organizations)
- *Tribal Directory Assessment Tool (TDAT)* (egis.hud.gov/tdat)
  - HUD's database of tribes with an interest in specific regions / counties




Photo: Indiana Landmarks Indiana Preservation Directory

36 CFR § 800.4

Identification of Historic Properties

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Establishing the Area of Potential Effects (APE)

Area of potential effects means the geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alterations in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist. The area of potential effects is influenced by the scale and nature of an undertaking and may be different for different kinds of effects caused by the undertaking.

36 CFR § 800.16(d)

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Things to Consider in Defining the APE:

- *Physical*: where are project activities happening?
- *Visual*: where will the project be visible from?
- *Auditory*: will the project increase noise in the area, and where are the extents of this increase?
- *Vibration*: will project activities increase vibration that could damage adjacent properties and what area could be impacted?
- *Atmospheric*: will there be change to the atmosphere around the project area, and where will this occur?
- Temporary & Permanent Impacts
- Environment of Project Area






36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Under the current regulations, can the APE be the same size as the project area ?

In some situations, it could be. Most times, the APE will be larger than the project area.



1



2

1. Construction of 40.3’ telecommunications structure *(Source: Bandstra, 10/2/2023)*

2. Construction of 1-story home *(Source: Downey, 8/13/2026)*


3. Replacement of Bridge

(Source: Ross, 1/7/2026)

4. Road widening, new signals, repaving *(Source: Fivecoat/Natali, 4/2025)*



3



4

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

- ✓ Establish Undertaking [800.3(a)]
- ✓ Identify Consulting Parties [800.3(c-f)]
- ✓ Establish APE [800.4(a)(1)]

Next Steps:

- Review Existing Information on **Historic Properties** in the APE [800.4(a)(2)]
- Seek Information, as appropriate, from consulting parties and others [800.4(a)(3)]
- Gather information from tribes [800.4(a)(3)]

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

What are historic properties?

36 CFR Part 800.16(l)(1)

Any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure or object included in, or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places






36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Resources for Identification

- Field Investigations
- Quad Maps
- Plat Maps and Other Historic Maps
- **Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps**
- Historic Aerial Photographs
- Historic Photographs
- Architectural Drawings, Histories, Resources
- Wills/Probates
- Census Records
  - Population
  - Manufacturing
  - Agriculture
- City Directories
- Deeds, Tax Records
- Newspapers
- Property Owners

Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps




1887 **1915** **1915 Revised**

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Resources for Identification

- Field Investigations
- Quad Maps
- Plat Maps and Other Historic Maps
- Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps
- **Historic Aerial Photographs**
- Historic Photographs
- Architectural Drawings, Histories, Resources
- Wills/Probates
- Census Records
  - Population
  - Manufacturing
  - Agriculture
- City Directories
- Deeds, Tax Records
- Newspapers
- Property Owners

Aerial Photography



36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Where do I find information about historic properties?

- Consulting Parties
- Local City Agencies
- Local Library – Indiana Room
- Indiana Landmarks
- Local Historical Societies
- Indiana State Library
- Indiana State Archives
- Indiana Historical Society
- Online Resources
- **SHAARD and IHBBC Map**

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties: Above Ground Resources



Indiana Historic Sites & Structures Inventory (IHSSI)

- DHPA's County Survey Program began in the 1970s
- All 92 Counties have been surveyed at least once
- Includes properties 40+ years old at time of survey
- County Interim Reports ⟶ SHAARD

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties: Above Ground Resources

Understanding the IHSSI Rating System

- Scattered Sites (Buildings, Structures, Objects) and Districts
  - **Non-Contributing**
  - **Contributing** – not individually eligible for listing in the National Register at the time of survey
  - **Notable** – further research may reveal that the property is eligible for listing in the National Register
  - **Outstanding** – listed in or potentially eligible for listing in the National Register

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties: Above Ground Resources


Listed vs. Surveyed


- Not all properties and historic districts included in the IHSSI are listed in the National or State registers.
- If a property or historic district was listed at the time the survey was conducted, it will be noted in the SHAARD record(s).
- Historic districts that are not listed but are included in the IHSSI were determined to be eligible at the time of the survey.
- Historic districts that were listed at the time of the survey follow the National Register-listed boundary.
- If a district has been listed since the survey data was collected, the listed boundary supersedes the surveyed boundary.



SHAARD: State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database

IHBBC Map: Indiana Historic Buildings, Bridges, and Cemeteries Map







36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties: Above Ground Resources

Using SHAARD and the IHBBC Map for Above-Ground Identification

https://www.in.gov/dnr/historic-preservation/



MENU
DNR Indiana Department of Natural Resources
Search Historic Preservation
SHAARD & IHBBC Map
DNR > Historic Preservation & Archaeology > County Survey Program > SHAARD & IHBBC Map
New SHAARD and IHBBC Map now available
A new version of SHAARD & The IHBBC Map is now available using the access buttons below. We appreciate your patien
transition. If you notice any errors or flaws, please send them to SHAARDAdmin@dnr.IN.gov.



MENU
DNR Indiana Department of Natural Resources
Search Historic Preservation
Indiana State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database (SHAARD)
The Indiana State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database (SHAARD) allows users to search for information on known historic resources throughout Indiana.
Access SHAARD
Indiana Historic Buildings, Bridges, and Cemeteries (IHBBC) Map
The Indiana Historic Buildings, Bridges, and Cemeteries (IHBBC) Map is the GIS component to SHAARD, where users can search the database using the mapping program.
Access IHBBC Map
About SHAARD
The data contained in SHAARD was collected from previously conducted cultural resource inventories, National and State Registers listing, research projects, and cultural resource management project reports.
SHAARD includes data from the Indiana Historic Sites and Structures Inventory (IHSSI) County Survey Program, the Indiana Cemetery and Burial Ground Registry, Historic Bridge inventory, resources listed in the National Register of Historic Places, resources listed in the Indiana Register of Historic Sites and Structures, and historic theaters in Indiana. Access to archaeological site locations and archaeological reports is restricted to qualified professionals.
The quality of the data varies with the completeness and precision of the original records and may be out of date. Information is updated daily. Absence of data does not necessarily indicate the absence of resources.

DNR
Indiana Department of Natural Resources

DIVISION OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHAEOLOGY






DNR
DHPA IHBBC Public App 2.0
Coordinate Conversion
Enter Coordinates/Address
Search for resource
Address
DD
DDM
DMS
Long-Lat
MGRS
USNG
UTM
50 mi
Earthstar Geographics | Access to Indiana Geographic Information Office Orthoimagery is governed by Creative Commons 0 (CC0) | Cop...
Powered by Esri



Map Layers


- Can check and uncheck layers you want to be visible on the map.
- DNR Scaled Shields = Street Names




DNR
DHPA IHBBC Public App 2.0
Add Data
resource
Add data
Search
URL
File
My content
Search
There is currently no added data.
Click to add data
We couldn't find what you were looking for. Please try another one.
50 mi
Earthstar Geographics | Access to Indiana Geographic Information Office Orthoimagery is governed by Creative Commons 0 (CC0) | Cop...
Powered by Esri


DNR
Indiana Department of Natural Resources

DIVISION OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHAEOLOGY



DNR
Indiana Department
of Natural Resources

DIVISION OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHAEOLOGY



New Hope Bridge (Bartholomew County)




Cooper Historic Bridge Survey (**HB-0129 -** 1986): Purple Square = Contributing

National Register (**NR-1449 -** 1999):
Orange Diamond = Listed

INDOT Historic Bridge Inventory (2009):
Red Flag = Select

Bartholomew County Survey - IHSSI (**005-181-25003 -** 2012):
Red Dot = Outstanding


DNR
DHPA IHBBC Public App 2.0
Search for resource
County Survey Site 005-181-25003
Zoom to
IHSSI No.: 005-181-25003
Name: New Hope Bridge
Rated as Outstanding
Click for Report
E 400 N
E 400 N
50 ft
IGIO, Microsoft, Vantor | Access to Indiana Geographic Information Office Orthoimagery is governed by Creative Commons 0 (CC0) | Esri...
Powered by Esri

SHAARD

Print Close Window

IHSSI (County Survey)



Survey Number: 005-181-25003
Rating: Outstanding
Historic Name: New Hope Bridge, Bartholomew County Bridge Number 133
Year Dataset Compiled: 2012
National Register File Number: NR-1449

Survey County

County	Legal Township(s)	Quad Name(s)
Bartholomew	Columbus	Edinburgh

Address: CR 400 N
City:
Location Notes: On border between German and Columbus townships, over Flat Rock River on CR 400 N.

Coordinates

Easting	Northing
592974	4346128

Common Name:
Category: Structure
Visible?: ☑
Historic District?: ☐
Historic District Name:
Ownership: public-local

Use: Present

Residence: ☐ Commercial: ☐ Vacant: ☐
Other: ☑ Describe: Transportation
Other: ☐

Use: Past

Residence: ☐ Commercial: ☐ Vacant: ☐
Other: ☑ Describe: Transportation
Other: ☐

Surveys/Legal Protections

National Register: ☑ State Register: ☑ Hoosier Homestead: ☐
National Historic Landmark: ☐ Local Designation: ☐ Protective Covenants: ☐
Other: ☐

Areas of Significance: TRANSPORTATION, ENGINEERING
Other Significance:
Endangered: No
Explanation: -
Number of Contributing Resources: 1
Number of Non-contributing Resources: 0
Environment: Suburban
Bibliography:

Structure Type

Bridge: ☑ Bridge Record Number: HB-0129
Cemetery: ☐
Other: ☐

Architect/Builder	Architectural Firm	Affiliation
Caldwell & Drake Iron Works	-	Builder

Time Period(s): 1913
Condition: Excellent
Integrity: Slightly Altered

Alterations:

Truss or Arch Type	Connection Type	Number of Panels	Number of Spans	Span Length
Pratt through truss	Riveted	8	2	128'

Total Number of Spans: 2
Skew Angle: -
Structure Material: METAL, STEEL
Deck Material: CONCRETE
Abutments & Wingwalls: CONCRETE
Builder Plaque:
Decorative Features: Lattice metal work
Statement of Significance: Excellent Pratt through truss Iron bridge, NR listed
Architectural Description:

Owner Information

Address:
City:
State:
Zip Code:

Surveyor Information

Name	Affiliation	Date
S. Brown	BSU	4/18/2011

Survey Revised By

Name	Affiliation	Date
-	-	-


Staff Comments: Bridge marked as Select.

Record Creator

Name: AMarisavljevic
Date: 4/8/2013

Record Modified

Name: JStauffer
Date: 6/15/2026


DNR
DHPA IHBBC Public App 2.0
Search for resource
National Register Site NR-1449
Zoom to
National Register No.: NR-1449
Name: New Hope Bridge
Status: Listed
Click for Report
1 of 3
E 400 N
100 ft
IGIO, Microsoft, Vantor | Access to Indiana Geographic Information Office Orthoimagery is governed by Creative Commons 0 (CC0) | Esri...
Powered by Esri

SHAARD

Print Close Window

National Register



National Register File Number: NR-1449
Historic Name: New Hope Bridge, Bartholomew County Bridge Number 133
IHSSI Number: 005-181-25003

Survey County

County	Legal Township(s)	Quad Name
Bartholomew	Columbus	Edinburgh

Address: CR 400 North over Flatrock River
City: Columbus
Zip Code: 47203
Acreage of Property: less than 1

Coordinates

Easting	Northing
592974	4346128

Other Names: Bartholomew County Bridge #133
Ownership: public-local
Category: Structure

Number of Resources within Property

Contributing Buildings: 0 Non-Contributing Buildings: 0
Contributing Sites: 0 Non-Contributing Sites: 0

Owner Information

Name: Bartholomew County Commissioners
Address: 440 Third Street
City: Columbus
State: IN
Zip Code: 47201
Telephone: 8123791515
Login Date: 6/2/1998
Received Date: 6/2/1998
Created By: -
Modified Date: 8/19/2020
Record Modified By: MHollcraft
Technical Review Accepted Date: -
Substantive Review Accepted Date: -
Archaeology Site Number: -
Staff Comments: Bridge marked as Select.

Status	Status Date
Listed in Both Nat. Reg. and St. Reg.	09/09/1999

Significant Dates: 1913
Significant Person: N/A

I hearby certify that this
Nomination: ☑
request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation for registering properties in the National Register of Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36CFR Part 60.: ☐

e property
meets: ☑ does not meet the National Register criteria: ☐

t this property be considered significant
nationally: ☐ statewide: ☐
locally: ☑ See continuation sheet for additional comments: ☐

entation
Determination: ☐
viously Listed: ☐
mined Eligible: ☐
NHL: ☐
HABS: ☐
HAER: ☑ HAER Record Number: -


of Additional Data
SHPO: ☑ Other State Agency: ☐
deral Agency: ☐ Local Government: ☐
University: ☐ Other: ☐
r Listed Date: 7/21/1999
r Listed Date: 9/9/1999 NPS File Number: 99001104
mination Date: -

Name: Laura Thayer, James Cooper, Monica Fry, Marc Holma
Organization: Historic Landmarks Foundation of Indiana
Address: 340 West Michigan Street
City: Indianapolis
State: IN
Zip Code: 462023204
Telephone: 3176394534
Email: -
Date: 11/10/1998

New Hope Bridge (Bartholomew County)



This bridge is a historic structure, individually listed in **the National Register of Historic Places** and **Indiana Register of Historic Sites and Structures**. It meets the criteria for a Select Bridge according to the Indiana Historic Bridges Inventory.



IN.gov | DNR Indiana Department of Natural Resources

Welcome to SHAARD

SHAARD

Web access to all of the historic resource data is open to the public, except for archaeological information.

ACCESS SHAARD

The Indiana State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database (SHAARD) allows users to search cultural resource information on known historic and archaeological resources throughout Indiana. The data contained in SHAARD was collected from previously conducted cultural resource inventories, National Register nominations, and cultural resource management projects.

THE ACT OF CHECKING SHAARD DOES NOT RELIEVE THE USER OF COMPLYING WITH APPLICABLE LOCAL, STATE OR FEDERAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS. The majority of properties included in SHAARD are privately owned and are not open to the public. Please respect property owners' privacy.

The quality of the data varies with the completeness and precision of the original records and may be out-of-date. Absence of data does not necessarily indicate the absence of resources. The SHAARD is updated as new information is received.

Users are encouraged to notify the DHPA about corrections at SHAARDAdmin@dnr.IN.gov.

SHAARD was made possible with financial support from the Federal Highway Administration, the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, and the Historic Preservation Fund of the U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service.

Access to archaeological information data is restricted and password protected and granted to qualified individuals who meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards. Access will be granted to qualified individuals, not companies.


Sign In

Sign In

If you forgot your password or are having problems logging in, please email SHAARDAdmin@dnr.IN.gov

Request a SHAARD Account

Qualified Archaeologists Only


IN.gov
DNR Indiana Department of Natural Resources
Welcome: Guest
Sign out
Structural Surveys
Search
To query the database, enter the criteria for the record(s) that you wish to search. More than one search criteria field may be used to narrow your query results. The search criteria fields are not case-sensitive, and you may search for records where the entered search criteria value is included anywhere within the corresponding field on the record.
* indicates a required field.
* Survey Type:
-Select-
-Select-
Theaters
IHSSI (County Survey)
Cemetery Registry
Historic Bridges
National Register

IN.gov | DNR Indiana Department of Natural Resources

Welcome: Guest
Sign out

Structural Surveys

Search

To query the database, enter the criteria for the record(s) that you wish to search. More than one search criteria field may be used to narrow your query results. The search criteria fields are not case-sensitive, and you may search for records where the entered search criteria value is included anywhere within the corresponding field on the record.

* indicates a required field.

* **Survey Type:**	National Register
Survey Number:	NR-1449
County:	--
Address:	
City/Location:	
Historic Name:	
National Register Criteria:	☐ A: ☐ B: ☐ C: ☐ D:
Criteria Considerations:	☐ A: ☐ B: ☐ C: ☐ D: ☐ E: ☐ F: ☐ G:
Architect/Builder:	

IN.gov | DNR Indiana Department of Natural Resources

Welcome: Guest
Sign out

Structural Surveys

Search Results

Number of Records: 1

Print List | Revise Search

Thumbnail	Survey Number	Historic Name	County	Status	
	NR-1449	New Hope Bridge	Bartholomew	Listed in Both Nat. Reg. and St. Reg.	View

Revise Search

IN.gov DNR Indiana Department of Natural Resources

Welcome: Guest
Sign out

National Register

Print

General Info | Geographic Info | Site Info | Significance | Site Assessment | Photos & PDFs

General Info



National Register File Number: NR-1449
Historic Name: New Hope Bridge, Bartholomew County Bridge Number 133
IHSSI Number: 005-181-25003
Go to IHSSI File

Survey County

County	Legal Township(s)	Quad Name(s)
Bartholomew	Columbus	Edinburgh

Geographic Info

Address: CR 400 North over Flatrock River
City: Columbus
Zip Code: 47203
Acreage of Property: less than 1

Not for publication: ☐
Vicinity: ☐

Eligibility Determination Date: -

Status	Status Date
Listed in Both Nat. Reg. and St. Reg.	09/09/1999

Photos & PDFs

Photos

Thumbnail	Filename	Size	Subject	
	New Hope Bridge (1).jpg	151.49 KB	Photograph	View
	New Hope Bridge (3).jpg	126.94 KB	Photograph	View
	New Hope Bridge (4).jpg	367.11 KB	Photograph	View
	New Hope Bridge (2).jpg	177.40 KB	Photograph	View
	New Hope Bridge (5).jpg	530.94 KB	Photograph	View

PDFs

Thumbnail	Filename	Size	Subject	
	New Hope Bridge Bartholomew CO Nom.pdf	1.56 MB	Nomination Form	View

Return to Search Results



1406_001.pdf 1 / 13 100%

NPS Form 10-900
(Oct. 1990)

OMB No. 10024-0018

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

1. Name of Property

historic name **New Hope Bridge**

other names/site number Bartholomew County Bridge #133 N/A

2. Location

street & number CR 400 North over Flat Rock River N/A ☐ not for publication

city or town Columbus N/A ☐ vicinity

state Indiana code IN county Bartholomew code 005 zip code 47203

3. State/Federal Agency Certification

As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, I hereby certify that this ☒ nomination ☐ request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36CFR Part 60. In my opinion, the property ☒ meets ☐ does not meet the National Register criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant ☐ nationally ☐ statewide ☒ locally. (☐ See continuation sheet for additional comments.)


Signature of certifying official/Title Date


Indiana Department of Natural Resources
State or Federal agency and bureau

In my opinion, the property ☐ meets ☐ does not meet the National Register criteria. (☐ See continuation sheet for additional comments.)

DNR
Indiana Department
of Natural Resources

DIVISION OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHAEOLOGY




DNR
DHPA IHBBC Public App 2.0
E Adams St
E Adams St
E Adams St
N Home Ave
E King St
N Home Ave
N Crowell St
Depot St
E Madison St
Depot St
Sibert Pl
200 ft
County Survey Site 081-222-23015
Zoom to
IHSSI No.: 081-222-23015
Name: House
Rated as Contributing
Click for Report
Survey Number: 081-222-23015
Rating: Contributing
Historic Name: House
Year Dataset Compiled: 1985
National Register File Number: -
Survey County
County
Legal Township(s)
Quad Name(s)
Johnson
Franklin
Franklin
Address: 498 East King Street (north side)
City: Franklin
Location Notes: Southwest corner of intersection of East King Street and Hurricane Street.
Coordinates
Easting
Northing
Common Name: House
Category: Building
Visible?:
Historic District?:
Historic District Name: Franklin Eastside Historic District
Ownership: private
IGIO, Microsoft, Vantor | Access to Indiana Geographic Information Office Orthoimagery is governed by Creative Commons 0 (CC0) | Esri
Powered by Esri

Photos & PDFs

Photos


Thumbnail	Filename	Siz
	23015.jpg	543

PDFs

Thumbnail	Filename
	Franklin Eastside HD (23001-063).pdf
	Johnson County Text.pdf

Return to Search Results

Franklin Eastside Historic District (23001-063)



Only one non-residential building can be found in the district. The First Christian Church (036), built 1873-74, is an excellent example of the Gothic Revival style. The church was built at a cost of $18,000.00.

The Franklin Eastside Residential District continued as a reminder of Franklin's rich architectural past and present.

The Franklin Eastside Residential Historic District lies east of Franklin's downtown commercial area and includes some of the earliest homes in Franklin. The area was part of at least three separate plats, one of which was laid out in the late 1820's by George King who was one of the founders of Franklin.

There are many excellent examples of the Greek Revival style in the district. Some of these include the John Sellers House (012) built in 1851. This house was voted the number one house in Franklin in a Better Homes Contest held at the first Johnson

The Abraham Voris House (048) built c.1860, (002) and (003), both built c.1880, represent the Italianate style which was very popular during the mid and late nineteenth century. In addition to the Italianate style, Queen Anne was also popular. Good examples of the style include (004) built c.1900 and (020) also built c.1900.


Several twentieth century styles are represented in the district. These include American Four-Squares such as (050) and (032), both built c. 1915, the Sellers House (055) built in 1909 in the Colonial Revival

Does the IHBBC map represent the location of locally designated sites / districts?

No – some locally designated properties/districts may overlap with the boundaries of National Register or State Register-listed properties/districts, but the IHBBC map does not contain data for locally designated areas.

DNR
Indiana Department of Natural Resources

DIVISION OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHAEOLOGY



36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties: Above Ground Resources

True or False:

If the above-ground property in my APE isn't in SHAARD, that automatically means it isn't historic.

False - there may be properties that would be eligible for the National Register of Historic Places today that were not picked up in the county survey.

There may also be properties that were rated "outstanding" or "notable" at the time of the survey that have undergone changes and would not be considered eligible or potentially eligible today.

Example:



1988, Notable-rated Site



From Google Maps

2024, same property

Example:



Andrew Means Park Manor Historic District (Lake County), listed in NRHP August 2024

- Period of Significance: 1949-1973
- Not Surveyed in Lake County Survey (1994), except for one scattered site

Analyzing IHBBC Map / SHAARD Data


Example Federal Undertaking (not real): Demolition of 4 Houses in Franklin and Construction of a 5-story multi-use apartment complex



Example (Not Real) Federal Undertaking:
Demolition of 4 Houses in Franklin and Construction of a 5-story multi-use apartment complex



What previously surveyed or listed sites are in the APE?



What previously surveyed or listed sites are in the APE?

National Register Site (1):
Bethel African Methodist Episcopal Church, NR-2397

IHSSI County Survey Sites (25):

~~House, Site #081-222-28038 (Demolished)~~
~~House, Site #081-222-28039 (Demolished)~~
~~House, Site #081-222-28040 (Demolished)~~
House, Site #081-222-28041 (Contributing)
John Hogue House, Site #081-222-28042 (Notable)
House, Site #081-222-28043 (Notable)
House, Site #081-222-28044 (Non-Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28045 (Notable)
House, Site #081-222-28046 (Notable)
~~House, Site #081-222-28057 (Demolished)~~
House, Site #081-222-28058 (Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28059 (Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28060 (Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28061 (Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28062 (Non-Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28063(Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28064 (Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28065 (Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28066 (Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28077 (Non-Contributing)
House, Site #081-222-28078 (Contributing)
~~Bethel African Methodist Episcopal Church (Notable)~~
House, Site #081-222-29043 (Contributing)
LaGrange House, Site #081-222-29045 (Contributing)
Historical Marker - Franklin Founded, Site #081-222-29050 (Contributing)

Franklin Westside Historic District, Sites #081-222-28001-28090

Above-Ground Records Check Results:

Within my Example (Not Real) APE, I have (5) previously identified resources:

Bethel African Methodist Episcopal Church, NR-2397
(Listed in the National Register of Historic Places in 2015 under Criterion A/Ethnic Heritage: Black)


Franklin Westside Historic District, Sites #081-222-28001-28090
(Surveyed in the 1985 Johnson County Survey with potential significance under Criterion C/Architecture)

House, Site #081-222-29043 (Contributing)
LaGrange House, Site #081-222-29045 (Contributing)
Historical Marker - Franklin Founded, Site #081-222-29050 (Contributing)

Using SHAARD for Below-Ground Identification

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties: Below Ground Resources

Archaeological sites on SHAARD Map




Gronauer Lock




DNR
Indiana Department of Natural Resources

DIVISION OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHAEOLOGY

Archaeological reports in SHAARD



Archaeological sites in SHAARD



36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties: Below Ground Resources

- Records Review
- Phase Ia Reconnaissance
- Phase Ic Deep testing in alluvial soils

  (anything above Ia need permit under Indiana Code 14-21-1-25)





36 CFR § 800.4:

Identification of Historic Properties: Below Ground Resources











36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties: Below Ground Resources





36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Applying National Register Criteria & Evaluating Eligibility

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

For a property to qualify for the National Register it must:

- Be at least 50 years old (general guideline).
- Retain historic integrity of those features necessary to convey its significance (location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, association).
- Be associated with a historic context.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

National Register of Historic Places Criteria for Evaluation





The Criteria for Evaluation define the scope of the National Register of Historic Places; they identify the range of resources and kinds of significance that will qualify a property for listing.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

National Register of Historic Places Criteria for Evaluation

Criterion A-Event-Resources that are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of history.

Criterion B-Person-Resources that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.

Criterion C-Architecture and Engineering-Resources that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction.

Criterion D-Archaeology-Resources that have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information in prehistory or history.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Criterion A


Dubois County Courthouse, Dubois County


Howard School, Boon County

Criterion B


Lanier Mansion, Jefferson County


T.C. Steele Boyhood Home, Montgomery County

Criterion C


Charles Dugan House, Adams County


Salmon Turrell Farmstead, Franklin County

Criterion D


Angel Mounds, Vanderburgh County


Smith-Sutton, Clark County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Criteria Considerations

A. Religious properties
B. Moved resources
C. Birthplaces or graves
D. Cemeteries
E. Reconstructed properties
F. Commemorative properties
G. Resources that are less than 50 years old

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Indiana Register of Historic Sites and Structures

The Indiana Register of Historic Sites and Structures (Indiana Register or State Register) was created by an act of the Indiana General Assembly in 1981. The DHPA administers this program. Note that all Indiana properties listed in the National Register are automatically listed in the State Register. However, sometimes properties are listed solely in the State Register.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Historic Integrity

Assessing Integrity

- It is not "one-size-fits-all"
- Integrity depends on why the resource or district is important/significant
- The threshold is different for every resource or district
- Aspects can be evaluated differently for different resources

Seven Aspects of Integrity

- Location
- Design
- Setting
- Materials
- Workmanship
- Feeling
- Association

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Integrity: Individual Resources

A high level of integrity is necessary for most individual listings, especially when significant for architecture.





Oliver P. and Mary Alice Gaar House, Wayne County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Integrity: Interiors

- Lack of sufficient interior integrity can render a building not individually eligible.
- In a district nomination, interiors are not discussed or required to be documented.
- If interior work is being done under I.C. 14-21-1-18 or Section 106 of the NHPA, staff DOES assess impact.




Mars Theater, Tippecanoe County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Integrity: Individual Resources





Patoka Church of God in Christ-Patoka Colored School, Gibson County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Integrity: Individual Resources



Patoka Church of God in Christ-Patoka Colored School, Gibson County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Integrity: Historic Districts

- The majority of the components that make up the district's historic character must possess integrity even if they are individually indistinguished;
- The relationships among the district's components must be substantially unchanged;
- Take into consideration the number, size, scale, design, and location of the components that do not contribute to the significance;
- A district is not eligible if it contains so many alterations or intrusions that it no longer conveys the sense of a historic environment.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Integrity: Layers of History

Over time alterations can become historic and help tell the story of a resource or district.

Original sidewalk glass block combined with Carrara glass (1930s) and black plastic panel storefront (1950s-60s).

Madison Historic District,
NHL District,
Jefferson County





36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Integrity: Layers of History

Over time alterations can become historic and help tell the story of a resource or district.









36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Condition ≠ Integrity



This 1890s rail depot still has many characteristic elements despite its condition. Its association with rail transportation in Veedersburg remains in place despite removal of the rail line.

Veedersburg Clover Leaf Route Depot
Veedersburg, Fountain County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Condition ≠ Integrity



This 1890s rail depot still has many characteristic elements despite its condition. Its association with rail transportation in Veedersburg remains in place despite removal of the rail line.

Veedersburg Clover Leaf Route Depot
Veedersburg, Fountain County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Assessing Districts

- Districts that have been identified within the last 5 years through survey or a federal or a state review will still be considered eligible unless significant changes have occurred.
- Districts that are identified through a new review submission should include the following: define boundaries, submit representative photos (entire district), estimate resource count (precise number for districts with 25 resources or less; percentage for districts with more than 25 resources), and justify eligibility.
- For the Section 106 process, a district is considered one resource. Every building in a district is listed; not all are considered historic.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Defining a Boundary

Boundaries for all properties

- Should encompass, but not exceed, the full extent of the significant resource(s) and land making up the property;
- Should be large enough to include all historic features, but should not include "buffer zones" or acreage not directly contributing to the significance;
- Leave out peripheral areas that no longer retain integrity;
- "Donut holes" are not allowed.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Assessing Districts-Residential



Pullman-Standard Historic District, Hammond, Lake County, 1916-1957


36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties
Assessing Districts-Commercial




Albion Courthouse Square Historic District, Albion, Noble County, c.1855-1964

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

National Register Bulletins





36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements

- Explain how/why the resource or district is or is not eligible for listing;
- If eligible, explain how the resource or district meets the Criteria for Evaluation and what areas of significance apply;
- Areas of significance relate to the historic context for which a resource or district is significant.
- If not eligible, explain how the resource or district no longer retains a sufficient level of integrity in order to meet the Criteria for Evaluation.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements

Don't do this…

The site is eligible under Criterion A because it is "associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of history."

or this…

The house is not eligible under Criterion C because it does not "embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction."

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Individual Resource**



Howard School is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places under **Criterion A** in the area of **education** for its association with the development of education in Boone County, Indiana. It was the second school building on the site, and from its completion in 1881 through 1916, this simple brick building served the students and community needs of the small village of Fayette in Perry Township. At the height of the small rural district, one-room school system in Indiana in 1910, Boone County had 123 known schools. Today, Howard School is the only one-room district schoolhouse remaining that has not been substantially altered.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Individual Resource**


IN.gov SHAARD My Account Sign Out

Structural Surveys | Accidental Discoveries | Archaeology Records | Archaeology Queue | Reports | Admin

Structural Surveys

Submit a: Select Go — Print list of checked items

1 to 7 of 7

Survey Number	Historic Name	Address	County	IHSSI Rating	Action
010-304-00102	Saint Joseph, Saint Peter, and Saint Paul	1020 Kundek Str	Dubois	Contributing	View Edit Delete
037-304-05080	Paul and Mame Mehringer House	4240 N. 25 E. R	Dubois	Contributing	View Edit Delete
037-304-06009	Saint Paul's Lutheran Cemetery	NA W. Haysville	Dubois	Contributing	View Edit Delete
037-304-06018	Saint Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church	W. Haysville Rd	Dubois	Outstanding	View Edit Delete
037-304-06019	Saint Paul's Lutheran Parsonage	538 W. Haysvill	Dubois	Demolished	View Edit Delete
037-645-50005	Saint Paul's Church School	NA 750 W Rd.	Dubois	Contributing	View Edit Delete
037-645-50006	Saint Paul United Church of Christ and Cemetery	750 West Rd.	Dubois	Contributing	View Edit Delete

Items per page: 10 All

Revise search


2.1 © 2007 DNR-DHPA. All rights reserved. Comments on the site? Email ShaardAdmin@dnr.in.gov



St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Dubois County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Individual Resource**







St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Dubois County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Individual Resource**






St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Dubois County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties


Eligibility Statements: **Individual Resource**




St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Dubois County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Individual Resource**





St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Dubois County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Individual Resource**





St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Dubois County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Individual Resource**



St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Dubois County

St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church is located in the community of Haysville, north of Jasper, in Dubois County. The congregation was established in 1848, and the first church, a frame building, was constructed in 1868. A new church was constructed in 1907 after a 1906 tornado destroyed the first building. A fire on Christmas eve in 1946 destroyed the 1907 building, leading to the construction of the current building in 1947. The church was recorded as part of the 1998 survey of Dubois County (037-304-06018) and it received an Outstanding rating. The original 1947 building retains good integrity on the exterior and interior; however, a large addition was constructed on the east side of the church between 2004 and 2006. The addition reorients the main entrance to the northeast corner of the building and significantly increases the footprint of the building. While the original building retains some aspects of integrity, such as location, design, materials, and workmanship, the size and scale of the addition overwhelm the 1947 building and diminish the overall integrity to the point the building is no longer eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Historic District**



Flanner House Homes, Marion County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Historic District**

The neighborhood of Flanner House Homes, Inc. is important to the history of Indianapolis as the site of the Indianapolis Redevelopment Commission's Project "A" fight against slum and blight and as Flanner House's (a settlement house) first project in cooperative housing. This effort to clear slum areas and offer low-income African Americans the chance to own their own homes is significant under **Criterion A** in the areas of **Social History, Ethnic Heritage-specifically Black heritage, and Community Planning and Development**. The Revival Temple Church, located at 1226 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, is significant under **Criteria A and C**. The church has two periods of significance, one for its ca. 1910 **Architecture**, and one concurrent with the overall district's period of significance. The church offered meeting space to the Flanner Homes effort during the project. Although both the redevelopment commission and Flanner House were involved in the project area soon after World War II ended, this district is significant for the main years of construction, from 1950-1959. From the partnership of the Indianapolis Redevelopment Commission and Flanner House grew a unique post-war neighborhood that today retains much of its historic fabric and many of its original residents. In regards to **Criteria Consideration G**, most of the homes in the district are not yet fifty years old, but the significance of the project lies in its groundbreaking nature. The Flanner House Homes, Inc. (FHH) program was foremost of local significance, but it was also nationally known as a success in self-help housing as demonstrated by the awards it won and national press coverage.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Historic District**



Princeton Courthouse Square Historic District, Gibson County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Historic District**

The Princeton Courthouse Square Historic District is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places using Criterion A under two areas of significance. Commerce played a significant role in the development of the district and maintained significance into the middle part of the 20th century. Thanks to its rail connections, Princeton thrived as a trade center. The town quickly became, and remained, the county's main commercial center. The other area of significance under criterion A is Politics/Government. As the county seat of Gibson County, the courthouse was established in Princeton on a traditional square and post offices have served the historic district through today. The Princeton Courthouse Square Historic District also qualifies for the National Register under Criterion C/architecture. The district exhibits examples of late 19th century American architecture styles including Italianate and a substantial number of Romanesque Revival style buildings including the present county courthouse (1884). By the early part of the 20th century, several prominent Neoclassical buildings were constructed followed by modern, mid-20th century style buildings, such as Princeton's Art Moderne theater (1948). A few building retain cast iron and pressed metal elements; a few still have foundry plates from Mesker, the famous cast iron maker in nearby Evansville.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Historic District**





Fairlawn Historic District, Bartholomew County



36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Historic District**





Fairlawn Historic District, Bartholomew County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Historic District**





Fairlawn Historic District, Bartholomew County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Historic District**

The Fairlawn neighborhood is located in northeast Columbus. The larger area includes commercial, residential, religious, and educational properties. The neighborhood was initially platted in 1958 and has six additions, the latest platted in 1968. Dunlap Development, Inc., developed all seven plats. The initial plat created several lots in the southern portion of the neighborhood with each addition moving development to the north. Homes in the southern portion were built circa 1960. Most of the homes built in the northern sections of the neighborhood were constructed circa 1965-1970, with some built into the 1980s and 1990s. Most homes in the neighborhood are massed or minimal ranches with some examples of split-level, Mansard, and Neo-Colonial. The area was developed in a fairly short time period (1958-1970) and exhibits many characteristics associated with mid-20th century residential developments including curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs, and large lots. However, a majority of the houses built prior to 1975 feature replacement siding and windows, with some having additions and enclosed carports. Additionally, a fair percentage of the housing stock was constructed less than 50 years ago. Due to the overall changes to the housing stock, the neighborhood lacks integrity and significance and is therefore not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties

Eligibility Statements: **Historic District**




Fairlawn Historic District, Bartholomew County

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of Historic Properties


Evaluating Eligibility through Archaeological Investigation

Below Ground Resources: **Phase II Archaeological Evaluations**

12He192 and 12He433




SPRINGDALE STOCK FARM & RESIDENCE OF SAMUEL STARBUCK, GUILFORD TP. HENDRICKS CO. IND.




Below Ground Resources: **Phase II Archaeological Evaluations**

12He192 and 12He433

Despite the presence of a significant amount of material remains, the cultural deposits are extremely mixed and no longer hold interpretive potential to answer research questions about diachronic change in materials and lifeways during the occupation of the site by Samuel Starbuck and family. Intact portions of identified foundations and a well have been thoroughly documented. The intact kiln features of site were fully investigated and documented. This documentation includes the creation of photogrammetric models of the redware kilns for future comparative research. The remaining portions of the site do not meet NRHP eligibility criteria.







36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of historic properties

"No Historic Properties Affected"

A. There are no historic properties in the APE

B. There are historic properties in the APE, but they will not be affected by the undertaking

Documentation Standards (800.11[d])

- *Description of Undertaking*
  - *Federal involvement*
  - *APE, Photos, Maps, Drawings*
- *Description of Steps taken to identify historic properties*
- *Efforts to seek information*
- *Basis for finding*

36 CFR § 800.4: Identification of historic properties


Historic Properties Affected

A. There are historic properties in the APE, and they will be affected by the undertaking
   A. No Adverse Effect?
   B. Adverse Effect?



Eagles Theater, Wabash (2016-2017 Section 106 review for rehabilitation using HUD funds)

Example (Not Real) Federal Undertaking:
Demolition of 4 Houses in Franklin and Construction of a 5-story multi-use apartment complex



Contributing Rated Sites:



Site # 081-222-29043 (1985 vs. 2025)



Site # 081-222-29045 (1985 vs. 2025)



Site # 081-222-29050 (1985 vs. 2025)













Ken's Auto Electric






CHIROPRACTOR
LAW
MASSAGE









Bethel African Methodist Episcopal Church



Listed in the National Register of Historic Places in 2015 under Criterion A/Ethnic Heritage: Black

- Significance? Associated with ethnic heritage of African Americans in Franklin and housed the City's first all-black congregation
- Retains Integrity to Convey Significance? Yes

Franklin Westside Historic District




Surveyed in the 1985 Johnson County Survey with potential significance under Criterion C/Architecture

- Significance? Locally significant collection of late 19th and early-20th century architecture in Franklin
- Retains integrity to Convey Significance? Yes

Example (Not Real) Federal Undertaking:

Demolition of 4 Houses in Franklin and Construction of a 5-story multi-use apartment complex

There are historic properties in the APE – one or more will be affected by the undertaking.



36 CFR § 800.5

Assessment of Adverse Effects

36 CFR § 800.5: Assessment of Adverse Effects

Will there be an adverse effect?

36 CFR Part 800.5(a)(1)

- **Apply Criteria of Adverse Effect**
  - Will it alter, directly or indirectly, the characteristics that qualify the property for listing in the National Register of Historic Places?
    - Will it diminish the property's integrity of the property's location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association?
    - You must know what makes a property eligible to determine any potential effects



T.C. Steele Boyhood Home
Montgomery County

36 CFR § 800.5: Assessment of Adverse Effects

- ***Example:*** Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property;





Beechwood Court Housing Project, New Albany (2021 Section review for demolition), Photos: Google Maps

36 CFR § 800.5: Assessment of Adverse Effects

- ***Example:*** Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR part 68) and applicable guidelines;



Clinton Freight Depot, Vermillion County (2011 Section 106 consultation for rehabilitation)

36 CFR § 800.5: Assessment of Adverse Effects

- ***Example:*** Removal of the property from its historic location;





Clover Ford Iron Bridge (Shelby County Bridge No. 13), Shelby County, 2001-2016 Section 106 review for replacement/ relocation

36 CFR § 800.5: Assessment of Adverse Effects

- ***Example:*** Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance;



Vevay Historic District, Switzerland County (2020-2022 Section 106 Review, pavement rehab and rehab, removed trees in front of Inn)

36 CFR § 800.5: Assessment of Adverse Effects

- ***Example:*** Introduction of visual, atmospheric or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features;





Westfield Historic District, Hamilton County (2022-2023 Section 106 consultation for construction of Union Square at Grand Junction)

36 CFR § 800.5: Assessment of Adverse Effects

- ***Example:*** Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization;



Emerson High School, Gary, Lake County (2024-Section 106 consultation for demolition)

36 CFR § 800.5: Assessment of Adverse Effects

- ***Example:*** Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance



Nike Missile Site C-47 (2025 Section 106 review – disposal of site)

36 CFR § 800.5: Assessment of Adverse Effects

"No Adverse Effect"

A. There are historic properties in the APE, but they will not be adversely affected.

"Adverse Effect"

A. There are historic properties in the APE and one or more of them will be adversely affected by the undertaking.

Documentation Standards (800.11[e])

- *Description of Undertaking*
  - *Federal involvement*
  - *APE, Photos, Maps, Drawings*
- *Description of Steps taken to identify historic properties*
- *Description of affected historic properties, along with characteristics that make them significant*
- *Describe effects on historic properties applicability of Adverse Effect*
- *Explanation of efforts of avoidance, minimization, or mitigation*
- *Consulting Parties comments*

Example (Not Real) Federal Undertaking:
Demolition of 4 Houses in Franklin and Construction of a 5-story multi-use apartment complex



Bethel African Methodist Episcopal Church (Listed/Criterion A)

- Physical Destruction / Damage to? **No**
- Removal from Historic Location? **No**
- Change of character of use / physical features in setting? **No**
- New visual/ Atmospheric/Audible elements that diminish the property's integrity? **No – parts of new development may be visible from the southernmost portion of the property, but the project area is mostly screened from view by mature trees and intervening buildings.**
- Neglect? **No**
- Transfer/Lease/Sale out of federal ownership? **No**

Will the undertaking adversely affect this historic property? **No**

Example (Not Real) Federal Undertaking:
Demolition of 4 Houses in Franklin and Construction of a 5-story multi-use apartment complex



Franklin Westside Historic District (Eligible/Criterion C)

- Physical Destruction / Damage to? **Yes, demolition of 4 contributing buildings within district**
- Removal from Historic Location? **No**
- Change of character of use / physical features in setting? **Yes, change of rhythm of streetscape (setback), removal of mature trees that contribute to significance**
- New visual/ Atmospheric/Audible elements that diminish the property's integrity? **Yes, introduction of 5-story building in the district's setting where all other buildings are residential 1- or 2- story homes**
- Neglect? **No**
- Transfer/Lease/Sale out of federal ownership? **No**

Will the undertaking adversely affect this historic property? **Yes**

Example (Not Real) Federal Undertaking:
Demolition of 4 Houses in Franklin and Construction of a 5-story multi-use apartment complex

Federal Agency finding of effect:

“ADVERSE EFFECT”

36 CFR § 800.6

Resolution of Adverse Effects

36 CFR § 800.6: Resolution of Adverse Effects

It's an adverse effect… now what?

A. Federal Agency shall continue consultation to develop and evaluate alternatives or modifications to the undertaking that could avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse effects on historic properties.
B. Notify Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (15 days to respond).
C. If adverse effect cannot be fully avoided or minimized, Federal Agency may agree to mitigation to compensate for the loss
D. Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) may be executed to resolve the adverse effects of the undertaking, concluding the Section 106 process
E. Federal Agency ensures implementation of MOA conditions

36 CFR § 800.6: Resolution of Adverse Effects


Purpose of an MOA

* **Resolution:** It resolves any adverse effects on historic properties resulting from a federal undertaking.

* **Compliance:** It serves as formal evidence that the agency has fulfilled its Section 106 responsibilities.

* **Clarity:** It ensures all parties understand the agreed-upon terms and actions.

36 CFR § 800.6: Resolution of Adverse Effects



Section 106 MOA Template
Last Updated May 2025

MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION AND
THE INDIANA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER
SUBMITTED TO THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION
PURSUANT TO 36 C.F.R. Section 800.6(b)(iv)
REGARDING THE **(UNDERTAKING)**
IN **(CITY), **(NAME)** TOWNSHIP, **(NAME)** COUNTY, INDIANA**
DES. NO. **(XXXXXXX)**

WHEREAS the Federal Highway Administration ("FHWA") proposes to **(action)** for **(undertaking)** in **(City)**, **(name)** Township, **(name)** County, Indiana; and

WHEREAS the FHWA, in consultation with the Indiana State Historic Preservation Officer ("Indiana SHPO"), has defined the **(undertaking)**'s area of potential effects ("APE"), as the term is defined in 36 C.F.R. Section 800.16(d), to be the area within **(boundaries)**; and

WHEREAS the FHWA, in consultation with the Indiana SHPO, has found that **(name of historic property or properties)** is/are within the APE; and

WHEREAS the FHWA, in consultation with the Indiana SHPO, has determined, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. Section 800.4(c), that **(name of historic property or properties)** is/are eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places ("National Register");

Or, **WHEREAS** the FHWA and the Indiana SHPO both recognize that **(name of historic property or properties)** is/are listed on the National Register of Historic Places ("National Register"); and

WHEREAS the FHWA, in consultation with the Indiana SHPO, has determined pursuant to 36 C.F.R. Section 800.5(a) that the **(undertaking)** will/may have an adverse effect on **(name of historic property or properties)**; and

WHEREAS the FHWA has consulted with the Indiana SHPO in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. § 306108) and its implementing regulations (36 C.F.R. Section 800) to resolve the adverse effect on **(name of historic property or properties)**; and

WHEREAS the public was given an opportunity to comment on the undertaking's adverse effect in a notice published on **(date(s) of publication)** in the **(name of publication)**; and

WHEREAS the FHWA has notified the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation ("Council") of the adverse effect and invited the Council's participation in the project, pursuant to 36 CFR Section 800.6(a)(1), in a letter dated **(date of letter)**; and

WHEREAS the Council declined to participate in consultation in a letter dated **(date of letter)**;

Des No. XXXXXXX, Draft/Final MOA, **(date)** Version Page X of X

Section 106 MOA Template
Last Updated May 2025

Or, **WHEREAS** the Council declined to participate in consultation through lack of response to the FHWA's invitation within fifteen (15) days; and

WHEREAS the FHWA, in consultation with the Indiana SHPO, has invited the Indiana Department of Transportation ("INDOT") to participate in the consultation and to become a signatory to this memorandum of agreement; and

Optional: **WHEREAS** the FHWA, in consultation with the Indiana SHPO, has invited **(name or names—if an LPA project, the LPA will be an invited signatory; if any other parties have responsibilities to undertake per the MOA's stipulations, include those parties as well)** to participate in the consultation and to become a signatory/signatories to this memorandum of agreement; and

Optional: **WHEREAS** the FHWA, in consultation with the Indiana SHPO, has invited **(name or names typically only added when the project has had very active consulting parties that provided input in the MOA process)** to participate in the consultation and to become a concurring party to this memorandum of agreement; and

Optional: **WHEREAS** the FHWA has determined that with regard to Section 4(f) resources, a *net benefit* is achieved when the transportation use, the measures to minimize harm, and the mitigation incorporated into the project results in an overall enhancement of the Section 4(f) property when compared to both the future do-nothing or avoidance alternatives and the present condition of the Section 4(f) property, considering the activities, features and attributes that qualify the property for Section 4(f) protection; and

Optional: **WHEREAS** the FWHA has determined that this project has a *net benefit* on **(name of historic property or properties)**, a Section 4(f) resource/Section 4(f) resources from which a small amount of right-of-way will be required and of which a conversion to a transportation use will occur; and

Optional: **WHEREAS** the SHPO signature serves as a concurrence in the use of the Net Benefit Programmatic 4(f) for this resource; and

WHEREAS the FHWA has consulted with the Indiana SHPO in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f) and its implementing regulations (36 C.F.R. Part 800) concerning the scope of work as presented in the materials and plans dated **(date)**[1], and has agreed to proceed with the project as proposed (optional: with the recommendations provided by the Indiana SHPO in a letter dated **(date)**); and

NOW, THEREFORE, the FHWA and the Indiana SHPO agree that, upon the submission of a copy of this executed memorandum of agreement, as well as the documentation specified in 36 C.F.R. Section 800.11(c) and (f) to the Council pursuant to 36 C.F.R. Section 800.6(b)(1)(iv)) and upon the FHWA's approval of the **(undertaking)**, the FHWA shall ensure that the

[1] Usually this date will be the date the finding of effect was signed as the most recent plans and materials are part of the 800.11 documentation.

Des No. XXXXXXX, Draft/Final MOA, **(date)** Version Page X of X

Section 106 MOA Template
Last Updated May 2025

Following stipulations are implemented in order to take into account the effect of the **(undertaking)** on historic properties.

I. MITIGATION STIPULATIONS

The FHWA, in coordination with INDOT, shall ensure that the following measures are carried out:

A. List mitigation stipulation(s) here. . . . Be sure to clearly spell out roles, responsibilities, and timeframes. Use active tense. For example state "The County will create and install an interpretive sign at the project site within three years of execution of this agreement" instead of "An interpretive sign will be created."

B. Consider items that can be implemented before or during construction so that completion of the MOA does not linger. Items that are not completed before construction is completed are harder to track and implement.

C. Before inclusion, carefully consider any items that would require property owner permission before they can be pursued (such as listing of a property in the National Register). If such an item is to be included, consider also outlining a "back-up" mitigation item that can be implemented, if necessary, to help avoid the need to re-open the Section 106 process to identify other mitigation measures.

II. OBJECTION RESOLUTION PROVISION

Disagreement and misunderstanding about how this memorandum of agreement is or is not being implemented shall be resolved in the following manner:

A. If the Indiana SHPO or any invited signatory to this memorandum of agreement should object in writing to the FHWA regarding any action carried out or proposed with respect to the **(undertaking)** or implementation of this memorandum of agreement, then the FHWA shall consult with the objecting party to resolve this objection. If after such consultation the FHWA determines that the objection cannot be resolved through consultation, then the FHWA shall forward all documentation relevant to the objection to the Council, including the FHWA's proposed response to the objection. Within 30 days after receipt of all pertinent documentation, the Council shall exercise one of the following options:
   i. Provide the FHWA with a staff-level recommendation, which the FHWA shall take into account in reaching a final decision regarding its response to the objection; or
   ii. Notify the FHWA that the objection will be referred for formal comment pursuant to 36 C.F.R. Section 800.7(c), and proceed to refer the objection and comment. The FHWA shall take into account the Council's comments in reaching a final decision regarding its response to the objection.

Des No. XXXXXXX, Draft/Final MOA, **(date)** Version Page X of X

Section 106 MOA Template
Last Updated May 2025

iii. If the ACHP does not provide its advice regarding the dispute within the 30-day time period, the FHWA may make a final decision on the dispute and proceed accordingly. Prior to reaching such a final decision, the FHWA shall prepare a written response that takes into account any timely comments regarding the dispute from the signatories and concurring parties to the MOA, and provide them and the ACHP with a copy of such written response.

B. If comments or recommendations from the Council are provided in accordance with this stipulation, then the FHWA shall take into account any Council comment or recommendations provided in accordance with this stipulation with reference only to the subject of the objection. The FHWA's responsibility to carry out all actions under the memorandum of agreement that are not the subjects of the objection shall remain unchanged.

III. POST-REVIEW DISCOVERY

In the event that one or more historic properties—other than **(name or names of historic property or properties)**—are discovered or that unanticipated effects on historic properties are found during the implementation of this memorandum of agreement, the FHWA shall follow the procedure specified in 36 C.F.R. Section 800.13, as well as IC 14-21-1-27 and IC 14-21-1-29, by stopping work within 100 feet and informing the Indiana SHPO and the INDOT Cultural Resources Office of such unanticipated discoveries or effects within two (2) business days. Any necessary archaeological investigations will be conducted according to the provisions of IC 14-21-1 and 312 IAC 21, and the most current *Guidebook for Indiana Historic Sites and Structures Inventory Archaeological Sites*.

IV. AMENDMENT

Any signatory to this memorandum of agreement may request that it be amended, whereupon the parties shall consult to consider the proposed amendment. 36 C.F.R. 800.6(c)(7) shall govern the execution of any such amendment.

V. TERMINATION

A. If the terms of this memorandum of agreement have not been implemented by **(Month)** **(Number of Day)**, **(Year)**, then this memorandum of agreement shall be considered null and void. In such an event, the FHWA shall so notify the parties to this memorandum of agreement and, if it chooses to continue with the **(undertaking)**, then it shall reinitiate review of the **(undertaking)** in accordance with 36 C.F.R. Sections 800.3 through 800.7.

B. Any signatory to this memorandum of agreement may terminate it by providing thirty (30) days notice to the other parties, provided that the parties shall consult during the period prior to termination to seek agreement on amendments or other actions that would avoid termination. In the event of termination, the FHWA shall

Des No. XXXXXXX, Draft/Final MOA, **(date)** Version Page X of X

Section 106 MOA Template
Last Updated May 2025

SIGNATORY PAGE
MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION AND
THE INDIANA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER
SUBMITTED TO THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION
PURSUANT TO 36 C.F.R. Section 800.6(b)(iv)
REGARDING THE **(UNDERTAKING)**
IN **(CITY), **(NAME)** TOWNSHIP, **(NAME)** COUNTY, INDIANA**
DES. NO. **(XXXXXXX)**

REQUIRED SIGNATORY

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

By: _____ Date: _____
Erica Tait, Interim Deputy Division Administrator

Des No. XXXXXXX, Draft/Final MOA, **(date)** Version Page X of X

INDOT's template: https://www.in.gov/indot/crm/part-5-forms/

36 CFR § 800.6: Resolution of Adverse Effects

Common Above-Ground Mitigation for an MOA


- Documentation of the resource
  - architectural drawings and photographs, archaeological excavation
- Re-design of project or establishing design review procedures
- Re-location (moving) of historic buildings or structures
- Interpretive signage or exhibits
- Walking tour brochure or history book
- StoryMap or other web-based educational product
- National Register nomination or inventory



36 CFR § 800.6: Resolution of Adverse Effects

Archaeological Mitigation—Phase III Data Recovery

Clark County Prison site (12Cl762)






36 CFR § 800.6: Resolution of Adverse Effects

Archaeological Mitigation—Phase III Data Recovery

Gronauer Lock 12Al1674







36 CFR § 800.6: Resolution of Adverse Effects

What happens if an agreement can't be reached?

- In very rare cases, the federal agency and the SHPO may not reach an agreement on resolving adverse effects
- In that case, the federal agency must seek comments from the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
- Federal agency must consider the Council's comments and document and notify public of Federal agency's decision, but need not follow Council's advice

36 CFR § 800.13

Post-review Discoveries

and Indiana Code 14-21-1- 27 & -29

36 CFR § 800.13: Post-review Discoveries

If any human remains, burial objects, artifacts, or grave markers are uncovered…



36 CFR § 800.13: Post-review Discoveries

IC 14-21-1-27: Duties When Buried Human Remains or Burial Grounds Are Disturbed

- Notify DNR within two (2) business days

IC 14-21-1-29: Duty Upon Discovering Artifacts or Burial Objects

- Immediately Stop Construction within 100 feet
- Notify DNR within two (2) business days

36 CFR § 800.13: Post-review Discoveries

US 40 brick cistern—Cambridge City



Indianapolis



36 CFR § 800.13: Post-review Discoveries

Human Remains





36 CFR § 800.14

Federal Agency Program Alternatives

36 CFR § 800.14: Program Alternatives

July 1, 2020

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION
Preserving America's Heritage

Program Alternatives (36 CFR 800.14)

	ALTERNATE PROCEDURES	PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENTS	EXEMPTED CATEGORIES	STANDARD TREATMENTS	PROGRAM COMMENTS
Objective	Tailor Section 106 process to fit agency mission/programs through agency-wide restructuring of the review procedure	Govern implementation of a program or resolve adverse effects when: effects are repetitive or are regional or national in scope; effects cannot be fully determined prior to approval; nonfederal parties are delegated decision-making responsibilities; involve routine management at federally managed properties; other circumstances warrant a departure from standard process	The ACHP can exempt a program or category of undertakings from further review if potential effects are foreseeable and likely to be minimal or not adverse and exemption is consistent with purposes of NHPA	Establish standard methods for treatment of a category of effects, undertakings, or historic properties according to best practices; does not replace the normal Section 106 process but can expedite reviews	Alternate method for agency to meet Section 106 responsibilities; allows the ACHP to issue comments on a program or class of undertakings in lieu of commenting on a case-by-case basis

Photo: achp.gov

36 CFR § 800.14: Program Alternatives

Programmatic Agreements (PAs)

Nationwide PAs

Federal Communications Commission
- Construction PA
- Collocation PA

Environmental Protection Agency State Revolving Fund Program PA

National Park Service

Statewide PAs

Federal Highway Administration
- INDOT Minor Projects PA
- INDOT Historic Bridge PA

Federal Emergency Management Agency / Indiana DHS

Department of Energy / IHCDA

US Army Corps of Engineers - Undertakings on Federal Properties

Local or Project-Specific PAs

HUD Projects under 24 CFR Part 58
- i.e. City of Fort Wayne

36 CFR § 800.14: Program Alternatives

What does a Programmatic Agreement do?

Federal Communications Commission Nationwide Construction PA

Signatories: FCC, ACHP, NCSHPO (National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers)
Applies to: FCC Undertakings

- Establishes Criteria for Undertakings Excluded from Section 106
- Establishes template packet for Section 106 submissions
- Defines sizes of APE according to height of tower
- Streamlines Section 106 review process
- Delegates finding authority to Applicant



36 CFR § 800.14: Program Alternatives

What does a Programmatic Agreement do?

Minor Projects Programmatic Agreement

Signatories: FHWA, INDOT, ACHP, Indiana SHPO
Applies to Federal Highway Administration projects in Indiana

- Establishes roles and processes for INDOT and FHWA to meet Section 106 responsibilities
- Establishes qualification requirements for consultants completing cultural resource work

- Creates categories of undertakings that can be approved:
  - (A) without review by INDOT Cultural Resource Office (CRO) staff or SHPO staff
  - (B) with review by CRO staff, but without review by SHPO Staff

36 CFR § 800.8

Coordination with NEPA

36 CFR § 800.8: Coordination with NEPA

My undertaking is Categorically Excluded under NEPA. Does that mean my project is exempt from Section 106?

No

Can I use my NEPA documentation to comply with Section 106?

Yes – See 36 CFR 800.8 (c) and *NEPA and NHPA: A Handbook for Integrating NEPA and Section 106 (currently under revisions)*

36 CFR § 800.8: Coordination with NEPA

Impacts vs Effects?

"effect" is specific to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA),

"impact" is the broader term used for the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

Proposed Amendments to 36 CFR § 800

- Why it happened?
  - Energy and AI industry – main drivers
  - Executive Order for Energy Emergency Issued Jan. 2025
- What has happened?
  - ACHP proposed and approved fully rewritten draft w/o expected collaboration
  - Draft under review by OIRA. Evaluates the legal soundness and cost effectiveness
  - OIRA is *currently* taking meetings that have been requested
- What is next?
  - OIRA will conclude review before or around the end of October
  - ACHP may need to revise or not
  - Draft language will be submitted to Federal Register and 30-day comment period will open
    - Comments must use the correct format
  - ACHP will consider comments, may or may not revise draft, and will publish final regulations in the Federal Register sometime next year

Indiana Code 14-21-1

Indiana State Reviews & Integration with Section 106

Indiana Code 14-21-1: Indiana State Reviews

- **State Review Indiana Code 14-21-1**
  - State transfer of lands (Section 14)
  - State property (Section 16)
  - State funding (Section 18)
  - Cemetery development plans (Section 26.5)

Indiana Code 14-21-1: Indiana State Reviews

Historic Property (State)

"**Historic property**" means any historic site or historic structure

"**Historic site**" means any site that is important to the general, archaeological, agricultural, economic, social, political, architectural, industrial, or cultural history of Indiana. (312 IAC 20-4-7)

"**Historic structure**" means any structure that is important to the general, archaeological, agricultural, economic, social, political, architectural, industrial, or cultural history of Indiana. A historic structure includes any adjacent property that is necessary to the preservation or restoration of the structure. (312 IAC 20-4-8)

State Reviews: **Indiana Code 14-21-1-14**

Transfer of State Property

Applies to:

- State-owned real properties being transferred

Review process:

- IDOA / State Agency notifies DHPA of transfer
- DHPA reviews property:
  - Historic Site or Structure on property?
    - IDOA places Covenant or Easement

Integration with Section 106?

- Unlikely

State Reviews: **Indiana Code 14-21-1-16**

Permits on State Land

Applies to:

- Projects occurring on State-owned or State-leased properties
  - Field investigations
  - Alteration of Historic Properties

Review Process:

- Project information is submitted to DHPA
  - Use Review Request Submittal form *(not application for a Certificate of Approval)*
- DHPA reviews information and issues permit letter if appropriate

Integration with Section 106?

- Include name of State Property with project information in submission

State Reviews: **Indiana Code 14-21-1-18**

State Funded Projects

Applies to:

- Projects funded in whole or in part by the State that will alter, demolish, or remove:
  - (1) a historic site or structure owned by the state [listed or eligible] OR
  - (2) a historic site or structure listed on the State or National Register

Review Process:

- Complete application for a certificate of approval
- DHPA staff review:
  - If no adverse impact -> Director issues letter of clearance
  - If adverse impact -> project appears before quarterly Indiana Historic Preservation Review Board

Integration with Section 106?

- Review can be done separate or at the same time
- Option for Dual Review (Section 106 and IC 14-21-1-18): needs to be requested in the subject line of transmittal letter and in case of “Adverse Effect” finding, allows director to issue letter of clearance if Section 18 are met

State Reviews: **Indiana Code 14-21-1-18**

My project is using state funds. Do I need a certificate of approval / dual review?

Maybe. Will it alter, demolish or remove a historic site or structure that is:

- Eligible for NR and/or SR on State Land: **YES**
- Eligible for NR and/or SR on Non-State Land: **NO**
- Listed on NR and/or SR on State Land: **YES**
- Listed on NR and/or SR on Non-State Land: **YES**


State Reviews: **Indiana Code 14-21-1-26.5**

Cemetery Development Plans



Names

ID	Names	Birth Date	Death Date	Cemetery
7347	BROWN, GEORGE W.	11M. 5D.	FEB. 13, 1853	CATHER
7528	BROWN, MARY J.	19Y. 8M. 1D.	MAY 15, 1885 or 1856	CATHER
9658	CATHER, J. W.	19Y. 7M. 1D.	1837	CATHER
9941	CATHER, ROSANNAH	69Y. 2M. 23D.	JUL. 5, 1857	CATHER
11214	CLOSSER, SUSAN	32Y. 25D.	Mar. 9, 1844	CATHER
11894	COMBS, MARTHA E.	5M.	APR. 22, 1853	CATHER
44605	MORRIS, CHARLES W.	2M. 14D. or 13D.	DEC. 2, 1843	CATHER
44722	MORRIS, SARAH A.	43Y. 3M. 7D.	APR. 18, 1857	CATHER
66460	WEIR or WIER, JANE	23Y. 3M. or 8M. 16D.	OCT. 26, 1853	CATHER
66475	WEIR, SARAH C.	25Y. 3M. or 8M. 17D.	AUG. 31, 1844	CATHER
66476	WEIR, SARAH H. or G.	2Y. or 25Y.	JUL. 30, 1844	CATHER





State Reviews: **Indiana Code 14-21-1-26.5**





State Reviews: **Indiana Code 14-21-1-26.5**



Grave 1 (John Sheckell)

Grave 1 was John Sheckell, who was born December 3, 1820, and who died at the age of 42 years old on August 3, 1863 (Figure 3). His grave was at the southern end of the westernmost row, and it was to the immediate south of Grave 2 (John Sheckell's wife, Isabella Land [she was remarried twice after John Sheckell died]).

No outer box was identified and the coffin was hexagonal in shape. The coffin was made from wood and utilitarian hardware consisted of late cut nails, wood screws, and plain tacks, and the only decorative hardware used in coffin construction were decorative screws and tacks. The lack of highly ornate hardware and an outer box suggest the coffin was homemade or made locally. Personal items consisted of poorly preserved footwear (represented by shoe or boot eyelets, leather soles, and leather heels), metal and porcelain buttons, and a copper alloy straight pin. Human remains were poorly preserved and were represented primarily by bone meal, but recoverable elements consisted of a somewhat intact skull, and fragments of the humerii, left radius, femora, and some of the left tarsals and metatarsals. Four fragmentary dental elements were recovered, but all were in poor condition. The human remains, coffin hardware, and personal items were consistent with the identity and dates of birth and death from the gravemarker.

DHPA Project Submission & Documentation Guidance

Please DON'T do this…

September 30, 2025

Division of Historic Preservation & Archaeology
402 W. Washington Street, Room W274
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Ms. McCord,


The Town of Carlos is working on a sewer project. We were told we need to contact you to get permission for our project. Please let us know if there are any historic buildings or archaeological sites we need to be concerned about.


Sincerely,

John Smith

DNR
Indiana Department
of Natural Resources

DIVISION OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHAEOLOGY





MENU DNR Indiana Department of Natural Resources

Search Historic Preservation

Submission guidance

Our office has a 30-day review period from the date of receipt of each submission. If additional information is requested, a new 30-day review period begins from the date of receipt of the new information. You must provide all the required information requested to allow for timely review.

Since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, DHPA now accepts electronic submissions as an alternative to hard copy submissions.

Hard copy submissions should be mailed to:

Indiana DNR – Division of Historic Preservation & Archaeology
402 W. Washington Street, Room W274
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204.

Electronic submissions should be emailed to: DHPAReview@dnr.IN.gov.

Electronic submissions should contain the appropriate form(s), and attached documents, including reports, photographs, and plans that must be in Portable Document Format (PDF). Please do not send each photograph as a separate email.

Please note there is a 30MB size limit per email. If necessary, split your submission into multiple emails, indicate in the subject line: 1 of 2, 2 of 3, etc. If the collective review materials are too large to email, use the hard copy option.

If an archaeological investigation has been conducted for the project, the resulting report and site forms (if applicable) must be submitted in the State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database (SHAARD) by the qualified archaeologist. DO NOT send the archaeology report with the remainder of the submission to the DHPA review email; however, you can list the SHAARD report number (i.e., AR-xx-xxxxx) on the Review Request Submittal Form.


Historic Properties are often recorded in accordance with the DHPA Minimum Architectural Documentation Standards when a project will unavoidably alter, demolish, or remove an historic structure, as one aspect of the mitigation.

- Review Request Submittal Form
- Application for a Certificate of Approval

DNR
Indiana Department of Natural Resources

DIVISION OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHAEOLOGY

Review Request Submittal Form



REVIEW REQUEST SUBMITTAL

State Form 53031 (R2 / 3-23)
Indiana Department of Natural Resources
Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology, Indiana State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)

Reset Form

Please complete this form and attach it to the front of all submittals, along with any reports or supplemental materials you are providing to the Indiana DHPA for review. Please note that archaeological forms and reports shall be submitted in SHAARD, separate from structural information since archaeological site locations are confidential and not for public disclosure.

Date (month, day, year): _____

- ☐ This is a new submittal.
- ☐ This is revised/additional information relating to DHPA number _____
- ☐ This project is being undertaken pursuant to the terms and conditions of a programmatic or other interagency agreement. Title of Agreement: _____
- ☐ This project will also be applying for Federal Rehabilitation Investment Tax Credit.
- ☐ This project includes work on a property that is under a preservation covenant held by DHPA.

THIS REVIEW REQUEST SUBMITTED BY:

Name: _____

Company/Organization: _____

Address (number and street): _____

City: _____ State: _____ ZIP: _____

Telephone number: _____ E-mail address: _____

PROJECT NAME & LOCATION ***(Please attach a map with location(s) marked)***

Project Name/Reference: _____ Project/Des Number: _____

Project Address/Location: _____

City: _____ Township(s): _____

County/Counties: _____

Section/Township/Range: _____

Latitude/Longitude: _____

STATE OR FEDERAL AGENCY INVOLVEMENT

Agency: _____ Program: _____

Type of funds, license, or permit to be obtained (if applicable): _____

Name of Agency Contact: _____

Address (number and street): _____

City: _____ State: _____ ZIP: _____

Telephone number: _____ E-mail address: _____

Page 1 of 4

different than Federal Agency) if available, please attach copy of authorization letter from federal

and street): _____

State: _____ ZIP: _____

E-mail address: _____

CONTACT (IF APPLICABLE)

and street): _____

State: _____ ZIP: _____

E-mail address: _____

ion – This should include a detailed scope of work, including any actions to be taken in relation to the all aspects of new construction, replacement/repair, demolition, ground disturbance, and all ancillary work s, etc.), as applicable. Attach report or additional pages if necessary. If a detailed scope of work is not ease explain and include all preliminary information.

Page 2 of 4

ing Activity – This should include a detailed description of all proposed horizontal and vertical ground ation to the project as well as any known previous and current land use, condition, and disturbances. Attach if necessary. Indicate if the project does not include any ground disturbing activities. Please note that generally does not have a serious enough impact on archaeological sites to constitute a disturbance of the urpose.

CAL INVESTIGATION

al investigation has been conducted for this project, the resulting report and site forms (if applicable) must be State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database (SHAARD) by the qualified archaeologist. he archaeology report here.

gical report submitted in SHAARD, please indicate the SHAARD report number (i.e. AR-xx-xxxxxx):

umber: _____

ase note that a finding should only be submitted when the agency/delegatee believes it is appropriate or one ed by our office. Only those who represent the Federal Agency or an official delegatee of the federal agency make findings of affect for an undertaking.

roperties Affected – (i.e., none are present or there are historic properties present but the project will have no . Attach necessary documentation, as described at 36 CFR 800.11.

Effect – The proposed undertaking will have no adverse effect on one or more historic properties located within nder 36 CFR 800.5. Attach necessary documentation, as described at 36 CFR 800.11.

ct – The proposed undertaking will result in an adverse effect to one or more historic properties and the federally authorized representative, will consult with the SHPO and other consulting parties to resolve the 36 CFR 800.6. Attach necessary documentation, as described at 36 CFR 800.11, with a proposed plan to ffect(s).

the basis for your determination.

Page 3 of 4

ne: _____ Date (month, day, year): _____

e: _____

cy: _____

incomplete submissions may result in delays. To ensure an expeditious review, please be sure that been provided:

view Request Submittal Form

rization from Federal agency/agencies *(if applicable)*

ties – List of all consulting parties that have been invited to participate and copies of any responses received. g parties would include the county historian, local historical society, the appropriate regional office of Indiana r local, state or national preservation organizations, tribes, local government and the general public.

location with project area(s) and Area of Potential Effects (APE) clearly marked; streets labeled and a north s are preferable and areas of previous and proposed ground disturbance within the project area should be ndicate if any of the project area is located on state or federal property.

color photographs of project area and APE, including any buildings or structures fifty (50) years or older within rmat; no more than two (2) photographs per page). For large project areas/APEs, photo size may be s and photo log provided to DHPA approved file sharing or ftp site. Contact DHPA for current list of

ngineering Drawings *(if applicable)* – Must be labeled with north arrow, clearly indicate proposed changes to and locations of any ground disturbance on site plans. Include both existing and proposed drawings/plans or large projects, plans may be provided by a DHPA approved file sharing or ftp site and/or hard copies no x 17" with legible font.

f any known historic resources – All projects should consult the SHAARD database (access available on the e) to locate known historic resources in the project area and APE. For any identified resources, the d include (in summary form): a list of the properties identified, including address, the site/reference number he rating (IHSSI, Bridge Inventory) or status (National Register) of each property, and a current photograph. bmit print outs of the individual SHAARD records.

eport and site forms (if applicable) submitted in SHAARD for DHPA review. Do not attach to this form.

State of Indiana funds to alter, demolish or remove a historic site or structure, include Application for a roval (SF 52889).

day review period, as specified in 36 CFR part 800.3(c)(4), begins from the date that we receive the complete submission.

Return this Form and Attachments to:

Indiana Department of Natural Resources
Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology
402 W. Washington Street, Room W274
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

http://www.in.gov/dnr/historic

Page 4 of 4

Project Description

- Should be as specific and detailed as possible
- Indicate if there will be ground disturbance
- Include information on the entire project
- Tell us if the scope is not finalized yet


APPLICANT (*If different than Federal Agency*) *If available, please attach copy of authorization letter from federal agency.*

Applicant:

Name of Contact:

Address (number and street):

City: State: ZIP:

Telephone number: E-mail address:

ADDITIONAL CONTACT (*IF APPLICABLE*)

Name of Contact:

Organization/Agency:

Address (number and street):

City: State: ZIP:

Telephone number: E-mail address:

Project Description – This should include a detailed scope of work, including any actions to be taken in relation to the project, such as all aspects of new construction, replacement/repair, demolition, ground disturbance, and all ancillary work (temporary roads, etc.), as applicable. Attach report or additional pages if necessary. If a detailed scope of work is not available yet, please explain and include all preliminary information.


Page 2 of 4

Project Description – This should include a detailed scope of work, including any actions to be taken in relation to the project, such as all aspects of new construction, replacement/repair, demolition, ground disturbance, and all ancillary work (temporary roads, etc.), as applicable. Attach report or additional pages if necessary. If a detailed scope of work is not available yet, please explain and include all preliminary information.

Ground Disturbing Activity








Ground Disturbing Activity – This should include a detailed description of all proposed horizontal and vertical ground disturbance in relation to the project as well as any known previous and current land use, condition, and disturbances. Attach additional pages if necessary. Indicate if the project does not include any ground disturbing activities. Please note that agricultural tilling generally does not have a serious enough impact on archaeological sites to constitute a disturbance of the ground for this purpose.

Archaeological Investigation

- Submit all archaeological reports to SHAARD
- Submission of report to SHAARD ≠ Start of Review



ARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION

If an archaeological investigation has been conducted for this project, the resulting report and site forms (if applicable) must be submitted in the State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database (SHAARD) by the qualified archaeologist. DO NOT attach the archaeology report here.

For an archaeological report submitted in SHAARD, please indicate the SHAARD report number (i.e. AR-xx-xxxxxx).

SHAARD report number _____

SHAARD

Archaeology Reports

Report Number: AR-20-00457

Report Titled: A Phase Ia Archaeological Reconnaissance Survey for the Proposed County Road 31 Bridge over Hydraulic Canal (Elkhart Bridge 335) Project, 0.2 Miles No


Date Submitted: 8/27/2026

Your record has been successfully submitted to be reviewed for inclusion in the SHAARD database. Please print this screenand include a copy of it with all project correspondence related to this report.

THE SUBMISSION OF THIS REPORT DOES NOT CONSTITUTE THE START OF A COMPLIANCE REVIEW UNDER IC 14-21-1 OR SECTION 106.

Findings

- Only made by Federal Agency or official delegatee
- Check only **one** finding of effect



FINDINGS – Please note that a finding should only be submitted when the agency/delegatee believes it is appropriate or one has been requested by our office. Only those who represent the Federal Agency or an official delegatee of the federal agency are authorized to make findings of effect for an undertaking.

☐ **No Historic Properties Affected –** (i.e., none are present or there are historic properties present but the project will have no effect upon them). Attach necessary documentation, as described at 36 CFR 800.11.

☐ **No Adverse Effect –** The proposed undertaking will have no adverse effect on one or more historic properties located within the project APE under 36 CFR 800.5. Attach necessary documentation, as described at 36 CFR 800.11.


☐ **Adverse Effect –** The proposed undertaking will result in an adverse effect to one or more historic properties and the applicant, or other federally authorized representative, will consult with the SHPO and other consulting parties to resolve the adverse effect per 36 CFR 800.6. Attach necessary documentation, as described at 36 CFR 800.11, with a proposed plan to resolve adverse effect(s).

Basis for Determination

Bad: "Our agency feels that there are no historic properties in the project area."

Good: Based upon a review of data available in SHAARD and the IHBBC Map, there are no previously identified (surveyed or listed) historic properties in the APE. There is one building (House at 123 Main Street) within the APE that is more than 50 years old, however it lacks integrity due to alterations, and it does not meet National Register criteria.

Good: The proposed undertaking will cause no physical impacts to the *"historic property"*, and no contributing features such as outbuildings, landscaping, trees, or other freestanding elements will be altered or removed as a result of the project. The undertaking will not introduce new elements within the property's boundary, and the project will not take any temporary or permanent ROW from the property. In addition, the property's access point on *"the roadway name"* will not change as a result of this project. During construction there may be temporary visual and auditory effects to the property as incidental milling and resurfacing will occur to *"the roadway name"* west of the property's western boundary; however, no permanent auditory, atmospheric, or visual effects are anticipated to occur. Visual impacts will also be limited due to the mature trees west of the *"historic property"*.



Ground Disturbing Activity – This should include a detailed description of all proposed horizontal and vertical ground disturbance in relation to the project as well as any known previous and current land use, condition, and disturbances. Attach additional pages if necessary. Indicate if the project does not include any ground disturbing activities. Please note that agricultural tilling generally does not have a serious enough impact on archaeological sites to constitute a disturbance of the ground for this purpose.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION

If an archaeological investigation has been conducted for this project, the resulting report and site forms (if applicable) must be submitted in the State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database (SHAARD) by the qualified archaeologist. DO NOT attach the archaeology report here.

For an archaeological report submitted in SHAARD, please indicate the SHAARD report number (i.e. AR-xx-xxxxxx):

SHAARD report number: _____

FINDINGS – Please note that a finding should only be submitted when the agency/delegatee believes it is appropriate or one has been requested by our office. Only those who represent the Federal Agency or an official delegatee of the federal agency are authorized to make findings of effect for an undertaking.

☐ **No Historic Properties Affected –** (i.e., none are present or there are historic properties present but the project will have no effect upon them). Attach necessary documentation, as described at 36 CFR 800.11.

☐ **No Adverse Effect –** The proposed undertaking will have no adverse effect on one or more historic properties located within the project APE under 36 CFR 800.5. Attach necessary documentation, as described at 36 CFR 800.11.


☐ **Adverse Effect –** The proposed undertaking will result in an adverse effect to one or more historic properties and the applicant, or other federally authorized representative, will consult with the SHPO and other consulting parties to resolve the adverse effect per 36 CFR 800.6. Attach necessary documentation, as described at 36 CFR 800.11, with a proposed plan to resolve adverse effect(s).

Please explain the basis for your determination.

Page 3 of 4

Project Review Checklist

- ✓ Completed Review Request Submittal Form
- ✓ Letter of authorization *(if applicable)*
- ✓ List of Consulting Parties
- ✓ Map of project location with project area(s) and APE
- ✓ Photographs
- ✓ Architectural / Engineering Drawings *(if applicable)*
- ✓ Identification of any known resources
- ✓ Archaeological report and site forms submitted to SHAARD *(if applicable)*
- ✓ Application for a Certificate of Approval *(if state funds will alter, demolish or remove a historic site or structure)**



Authorized Signature: _____ Date (month, day, year): _____

Type or print name: _____

Organization/Agency: _____

Please note that incomplete submissions may result in delays. To ensure an expeditious review, please be sure that the following has been provided:

- ☐ Completed Review Request Submittal Form
- ☐ Letter of authorization from Federal agency/agencies *(if applicable)*
- ☐ Consulting Parties – List of all consulting parties that have been invited to participate and copies of any responses received. Typical consulting parties would include the county historian, local historical society, the appropriate regional office of Indiana Landmarks, other local, state or national preservation organizations, tribes, local government and the general public.
- ☐ Map of project location with project area(s) and Area of Potential Effects (APE) clearly marked, streets labeled and a north arrow. aerial maps are preferable and areas of previous and proposed ground disturbance within the project area should be shown. Please indicate if any of the project area is located on state or federal property.
- ☐ Clear, current color photographs of project area and APE, including any buildings or structures fifty (50) years or older within the APE. (PDF format, no more than two (2) photographs per page). For large project areas/APEs, photo size may be reduced, or photos and photo log provided to DHPA approved file sharing or ftp site. Contact DHPA for current list of accepted sites.
- ☐ Architectural/Engineering Drawings (if applicable) – Must be labeled with north arrow, clearly indicate proposed changes to existing buildings and locations of any ground disturbance on site plans. Include both existing and proposed drawings/plans in PDF format. For large projects, plans may be provided by a DHPA approved file sharing or ftp site and/or hard copies no smaller than 11" x 17" with legible font.
- ☐ Identification of any known historic resources – All projects should consult the SHAARD database (access available on the DHPA home page) to locate known historic resources in the project area and APE. For any identified resources, the submission should include (in summary form) a list of the properties identified, including address, the site/reference number from SHAARD, the rating (IHSSI, Bridge Inventory) or status (National Register) of each property, and a current photograph. Please do not submit print outs of the individual SHAARD records.
- ☐ Archaeological report and site forms (if applicable) submitted in SHAARD for DHPA review. Do not attach to this form.
- ☐ Projects using State of Indiana funds to alter, demolish or remove a historic site or structure, include Application for a Certificate of Approval (SF 52889).

The thirty (30) day review period, as specified in 36 CFR part 800.3(c)(4), begins from the date that we receive the complete submission.

Return this Form and Attachments to:


Indiana Department of Natural Resources
Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology
402 W. Washington Street, Room W274
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

http://www.in.gov/dnr/historic

Page 4 of 4


Project Submission Guidance: **Maps**

- Map showing location of project **with streets labeled and a north arrow**
- Color maps are usually more informative and clear than black & white
- Aerial maps are OK – need to be easy to read
- Project Area vs. Area of Potential Effects (APE) – need to be clearly outlined (use high contrast colors)
  - Project Area – where the project is
  - APE – the area around the project that could be affected




Project Area

APE




Project Area

APE



Project Areas > Points



Project Submission Guidance: **Photographs**

- Include current photos of Project Area and APE
- Need to clearly see the building/site/feature, Streetscapes are recommended.
- For districts, submit photographs representing the major building types and styles, community landmark buildings, representative noncontributing resources, and any important topographical or spatial elements.
- Label and key to site plan and/or map
- Provide more than 1 photo of a resource if needed
- Maximum of 2 photographs per page
- Do not only send in images from online resources
- Illustrate the features discussed in the description and statement of significance or statement of eligibility.

Coca Cola Bottling Plant (La Porte)

Google Streetview: June 2024

MOA signed for Demolition: January 2026

Building Demolished: June 2026

September 2026: Google Streetview still dates to 2024 and shows building extant



Richmond Downtown Historic District (NR-2180)

Google Streetview: August 2024

DHPA Site Visit: May 2026




ONLY

DNR
Indiana Department
of Natural Resources

DIVISION OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHAEOLOGY





Interior Photographs





If the project involves a rehabilitation or if the property is being considered for listing in the NRHP, need to see interior features and details in context. A wider view illustrates the floor plan and room relationships while still showing details that add to the significance of the resource.


Streetscape Photographs



- Good overall context
- Clear view of a lot of buildings
- Little to no clutter



- Good view of several houses, additional homes in the background for good context
- Enough of the street to show setback and density, sidewalks, street trees, etc.



Photograph Key



Photo 1: Project area at 1305 Central Avenue, facing north; former location of a gas station (IHSSI site # 098-296-14205) , non-contributing resource within the National Register listed Old Northside Historic District




Photo 4: House on SW corner of 13th and Park Avenue (IHSSI site # 098-296-14222) facing southwest, contributing resource within the National Register listed Old Northside Historic District




Photo 6: modern infill house on 13th Street just east of the project site, facing northeast, non-contributing resource within the National Register listed Old Northside Historic District

Plans and Drawings

- Need to have a north arrow
- Text needs to be large enough to read
- Keys should be provided when needed (line types, hatching, etc.)
- A digital copy can also be helpful when drawings have been scaled down




Existing Conditions with location of previous and proposed structures
- existing tree
- existing fence
- existing building
- previous location of building
- proposed picnic shelter
Central Avenue
E 13th Street


Proposed New Park
- existing evergreen tree to remain
- existing deciduous tree to remain
- existing fence to remain
1 - new picnic shelter
2 - paver patio/temporary parking
3 - proposed playground
Central Avenue
E 13th Street
1
2
3

Submittal Guidelines

- 30-day review period from date of receipt. If additional information is requested, a new 30-day review period begins from date of receipt of new information.
- DHPA has a hybrid submittal system – *we are strongly encouraging submitting projects electronically*:
  - Electronic Copies: E-Mailed to DHPAReview@dnr.IN.gov
    35 MB size limit per email. Indicate if there are multiple emails of same project.
    Do not send each photograph as a separate file.
  - Hard Copies: Mailed to
    402 W. Washington Street, Room W274
    Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
- Archaeology Reports should be submitted to SHAARD; Structures identification should be separated and not included with archaeology report. Structures information should be included with submission.

Questions?

Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology

402 W. Washington St., Room W274
Indianapolis, IN
46204-2739
317/232-1646

on.IN.gov/dhpa
facebook.com/INdhpa